

# Best Transport Achievement Award 2018

## **Extended presentation pack**

Jonathan Baker Global Fleet Manager jonathan.baker@mariestopes.org +44 (0) 7880 386159

# **Global Fleet Management** 2016-18



# 1. Situation

Why invest in Fleet? Organisational strategy Performance visibility Service delivery effectiveness (productivity)





## Why did we invest?

#### Making our programmes more successful



## Scale & Impact productivity through Outreach scheduling Quality minimum fleet standards; increase safety

Sustainability reduce fleet costs



### Why did we invest? Limited global visibility

? Outreach team DEP + ARR times **Outreach Time-on-Site** £7-9m...? 700+...? ? **Total Spend Light Vehicles** Fleet Staff ...? L/100km ...? Accident Rate **Fuel Consumption Total Cost Ownership** 



# 2. Mission



## **Project Plan** Objectives

#### Outreach

improve outreach effectiveness (productivity) by increasing the fulfilment of outreach schedules.

(1) **Effectiveness** (productivity) increase outreach on time arrival, and team time on site to an average of 5 hours per day

#### **Fleet Management**

improve fleet management across MSI: cost, safety and reporting.

(2) Reduce fleet costs - visibility of fuel and maintenance for operating costs per km (per vehicle)
(3) Increase fleet safety - visibility of accident rates and overspeeding event figures.
(4) Improved data reporting -

performance against indicators.

#### **Strategies** Rolling out the benefits of Fleet

#### **Strategies**

- Project champion support from top!
- Engage external expertise
- Performance management, and visibility through dashboards
- Technology to manage performance
- Looking out for our drivers
- Looking out for our vehicles
- Country level project rollouts
- Active communications stakeholders all the time

#### Islands of stability

Successfully achieving one benchmark before progressing to the next

<u>First two years</u> (2016-17) rolled out to all our fifteen Africa country programmes

#### A change for year-three (2018).

- Instead of an additional 7-8 country programmes (Asia), it was decided to apply the brakes.
- Again, successfully achieving one benchmark before progressing to the next

# 3. Execution









#### **Global Fleet Structure**

Who is involved?



## Communications

#### Messaging change

Comms Stages	Key Messaging	Audience options			
		RD,CD, PO			
Project Launch	Create awareness about the project and its objectives	Outreach and Logistics Directors			
		Outreach and Logistics-Fleet teams			
Pre-Rollout	Initial engagement with implementation countries	Drivers			
		Global Fleet Board			
Pollout	Engage and undate country SMT	Channel options			
Konout		Email / Announcement message			
		Video/ OpenDoor			
Post-Rollout	Team communication and engagement	CPD Live presentation			
		CPD email distributions			
	Sustain team engagement and continuous improvement	briefing/ debreifing/ trip report			
		email/ skype/ messaging apps			
	Recognition and reward; sustain engagement and support	monthly skype (30 mins)			
		meeting minutes			
Pro-Project Phase	Initial engagement with next 'project phase' (e.g. 2017, 2018)	office meeting			
		Support options			
		Comms team			
General	Recognition and reward; sustain engagement and support	BEU team			
		RD/CD/PO			
	Senior stakeholder engagement and support	Project Champion			
		Exec Assist HSD			

## **Country launches**

#### **Comprehensive hands-on implementation**

MSI Global Fleet Management has rolled out to all **fifteen Africa country programmes**. Each received full **suite of tools and techniques** through four audience-specific full day workshops

Workshops	Description
Outro och meduativity	<ul> <li>Best practices for service delivery scheduling, and journey planning</li> </ul>
Outreach productivity	Introduction to the tracpoint vehicle tracking system
tra analint usa ga	tracpoint hands-on for users
tracpoint usage	Basic setups: passwords, vehicle / driver lists; country parameters
	People & assets; fuel & maintenance; safety & security
Fleet Management 'basics'	Performance management: quality standards; KPIs; dashboards
	tracpoint Fleet Management System (FMS)
	Vehicle Care & Driving Behaviour
Drivers engagement	Vehicle Tracking System (VTS)
	Drivers make a difference



VEHICLE AND DRIVER MANAGEMENT



## Technology tracpoint GPS hardware rollout

#### **East & Southern Africa**

#### West & Central Africa

		tracpoint		
ESA	100% GPS	partial GPS	w/out GPS	Remarks
Ethiopia		1		should have partial GPS by late Q1 or early Q2
Kenya	1			
Madagascar		1		tracpoint GPS on the x12 TJ Mather vehicles only
Malawi			1	
Tanzania			1	
Uganda		1		<ul> <li>tracpoint GPS on the x4 TJ Mather vehicles only</li> <li>Remainder vehs we are testing syncing non- tracpoint hardware to the tracpoint online user interface</li> </ul>
Zambia		1		tracpoint GPS on x5 vehicles only
Zimbabwe			1	
	1	4	3	
		8		

		tracpoint		
WALA	100% GPS	partial GPS	w/out GPS	Remarks
Congo DRC			1	
Burkina Faso	1			
Mali	1			
Niger	1			
Senegal	1			
Bolivia			1	
Ghana			1	- we are testing syncing non-tracpoint hardware to
				the tracpoint online user interface
Nigeria	1			
Sierra Leone	1			
	6	0	3	
		9		

#### **Key Performance Indicators**

Category	Indicator	Measurement
1- Outreach Productivity	Outreach time on site	5+ hours per day
	Days on site	15+ days per month
2- Fleet Costs	Operating costs (fuel + maint)	costs per km (per vehicle)
	Fuel consumption	L/100 Km per veh
3- Fleet Safety	Accidents	accidents per 100'000 km
	Overspeeding	avge events per driver
4- Data reporting	Reporting deadlines	the 7th working date monthly
	Data accuracy	parameter norms (pre-defined)

#### Performance dashboard Key performance indicators (outputs)

Country	
Fleet Manager	

FL	EET	DASH	<b>IBO</b>	ARD 2	018

Light vehicles			SUN data					tracpoint data			data difference	
category	Indicator	Unit type	RAG	Norm	Score	%	USD	Norm	Score	%	USD	%
Operating Costs	KPI Fuel cost per km	USD /km		0.124	0.137	10.0%	12,301	0.12	0.12	-2%	0.02	11%
	KPI Maintenance cost per km	USD /km		0.12	0.120	0.3%		0.13	0.13	4%	0.00	-4%
	KPI Operating cost per km	USD /km		0.244	0.257	5.2%	12,301	0.25	0.25	1%	0.01	4%
Consumption	KPI Fuel consumption L/100km	L/100km		11.1	12.9	16%	17,788					
Utilisation	Average distance driven per vehicle	km/month	no norm set 1920			Interpreting the dashboard						
	Distance travelled last 12 months	km / year	no no		995,110			Cost - accetpable but analyse variance Consumption - acceptable but anaylyse variance				
	Average age of vehicles	years		5	4.4	-12%					nce	
Average odometer reading per vehicle km/ ve		km/ vehicle		150,000	128,100	-15%		Safety - ov	erspeed hi	gh, keep re	poring incid	ents
Safety	KPI Accidents per 100'000km	per 100'000km	no no		0.8			Data quali	<b>ty</b> - good co	cnsistency	SUN/tracpoi	nt
	KPI Injuries & fatalities	quantity	no no		0							
	KPI Overspeed events per driver	avge per driver		2	2.9	43%						

#### Performance dashboard Fleet cost performance graph (outputs)



#### **Performance dashboard**

Fleet Quality standards assessment (inputs)



#### Vehicle specifications usage category & description

Usage category	Usage description	Specification	Standard equipment (required)
Support	Urban	Automobile 4x2	All vehicles (required)
Omce	based at a support or regional office, and usage remains within city/town limits only.	Passenger van 4x2	Air conditioning (non-CFC) Anti-lock braking system (ABS) Airbags / driver & front Radio CD player with USB
Monitoring	Mixed-usage (urban/field) <u>Off-road capability, on-road comfort and safety</u> Vahiele is based at a support or regional office	Pickup double cab 4x4 <u>light</u> duty	pickups: add fiberglass cap lockable
	and usage is intended for field monitoring visits and/or delivery of light supplies.	Wagon 4x4 <u>light</u> duty	wagons: add heavy duty roof-rack
Field Work	<b>Field</b> <u>Heavy-duty off-road, no-nonsense, simple,</u> <u>reliable and rugged.</u> Regardless of where the	Pickup double cab 4x4 <u>heavy</u> <u>duty</u>	pickups: add fiberglass cap lockable
	vehicle is based, the primary day-to-day usage of the vehicle is field work.	Wagon 4x4 heavy duty	wagons: add heavy duty roof-rack

#### Fleet sizing estimation model INSEAD university

#### Table 1: Actual vs Predicted Fleet Size (Niger removed)

Country	SFI	Country Size	Road Density	Percentage Paved Road	Percentage Car Ownership	Staff	Locations	Current Fleet Size	Predicted Fleet Size	Difference	Absolute variation (%)
Bangladesh	12	130,170	0.163	0.095	0.003	1,705	130	38	38	0	0%
Bolivia	10	1,083,300	0.076	0.108	0.070	60	13	6	8	-2	33%
Burkina Faso	16	273,600	0.056	0.042	0.012	182	19	13	13	0	0%
Cambodia	11	176,520	0.224	0.081	0.021	89	9	7	8	-1	14%
Ethiopia	19	1,000,000	0.044	0.137	0.008	615	39	62	49	13	21%
Ghana	11	227,540	0.481	0.126	0.030	185	17	33	36	-3	9%
Kenya	10	569,140	0.283	0.143	0.024	340	39	44	38	6	14%
Madagascar	11	581,795	0.064	0.163	0.026	382	46	29	25	4	14%
Malawi	14	94,280	0.164	0.450	0.008	525	46	50	54	-4	8%
Mali	16	1,220,190	0.018	0.246	0.014	720	16	27	32	-5	19%
Myanmar	18	653,080	0.058	0.119	0.007	561	62	41	54	-13	32%
Nigeria	18	910,770	0.212	0.150	0.061	249	30	51	50	1	2%
Papua New Guinea	11	452,860	0.043	0.321	0.013	120	9	15	21	-6	40%
Senegal	10	192,530	0.086	0.361	0.022	157	10	23	15	8	35%
Sierra Leone	14	72,180	0.157	0.080	0.006	128	25	19	22	-3	16%
Tanzania	11	885,800	0.098	0.149	0.007	397	71	58	58	0	0%
Uganda	17	200,520	0.100	0.230	0.008	321	48	57	50	7	12%
Zambia	12	743,390	0.054	0.220	0.021	66	11	23	25	-2	9%
Zimbabwe	17	386,850	0.251	0.190	0.114	107	19	20	21	-1	5%

Average: 15%

## **Knowledge platform**

A place for Fleet (Microsoft SharePoint)

#### **Global news articles**



#### **Country working folders**

Office 365		MARIE STOPES		
Search me Icomel	Global Fleet Community Private group			★ Following Group conversation
bal Fleet Library	+ New 🗸 🕴 Upload 🗸 😢 Share 🕫 Copy link 🎧 Sync	≟ Download ···		🖙 All Documents 🤍 🍸 👘
ared with us a contents	Global Fleet Libr > 2, Country Perfo > Afrique d	e l'Oue > Niger		Niger July 25
pes	🗅 Name 🗸	Modified $\smile$	Modified By $\lor$ +	
ycle bin	In apport mensuel flotte	August 7	Lasharida Seaman	Has Access
Edit	2. Auto-evaluation trimetriel	August 7	Lashanda Seaman	Mapage access
	3. Accident de véhicule	···· August 7	Lashanda Seaman	
	4. Planning equipe mo 3. Accident de véhicule.	August 7	Lashanda Seaman	Recent Activity
	5. Rapports de voyage - gestionnaire de la flotte mondiale.	August 8	Jonathan Baker	Earlier
				Constant Baker rena moned "S. Responsitive ways August 8

# 4. Impact

Productivity Costs Safety Data quality





# Impact highlights 2016-18

- Over 230 Outreach teams across our country programmes are now planning their journeys within monthly service delivery schedules. This enables teams to efficiently plan and maximise site visits in a journey, thereby reducing travel times and also increasing the rate of on-time arrivals.
- Rolling-out new technology to manage performance, including a bespoke Outreach scheduling module (tracpoint), a vehicle tracking system and a fleet management system.
- Creating operating cost visibility across 15 country fleet teams, which had not existed previously.
- Gaining significant savings (10-15%) on the cost of vehicles through centralising the ordering process, and a six-week reduction in the procurement time for vehicles.
- A drastic reduction of the incidents of drivers speeding, instilling a culture of adhering to speed limits to improve team members' safety.
- Learning from both our successes and mistakes and adapting the project to capitalise on what we have learnt so far.

## Project objective 1 Productivity

# A. Outreach monthly team scheduling Departure & arrival times

**Impact** – A huge behaviour change in that over 231 teams across 15 country programmes are now preparing monthly movements planning in a detailed manner; improved clustering of site locations to reduce travel time between sites, and better time on site management to deliver services. Manager visibility over teams; team leaders control over movements; driver's clarity over expected departure and arrival times.

**Challenges** – While may not be a radical idea, it would require teams to work differently than were accustomed. Took a lapse time 2-3 three months for the message to filter to all the teams, to achieve understanding and reasonable planning data quality.

**Innovation –** Teams journey planning with scheduling ahead of service delivery month; clustering of site locations to reduce travel time, and increased time on site to deliver services.

Evidence - Scheduling done by teams; INSEAD study Uganda.

## Case study Madagascar Results quantitative



### Case study Madagascar Results qualitative

Activity	Before	After
1. Schedule preparation	1a. Limited advance planning included site dates and names	1a. departure and arrival times, with named location from start of day, site, and end of day.
	15. Recorded to various word of excernocal formats	ib. entry to tracpoint schedule module.
2. Channel oversight	2a. Insufficient supervision over the provisional planning, and adherence to the plan.	2a. revised structure with end-to-end division of labour in the scheduling process.
	2b. Lack of comparison on journey time versus working hour time	2b. On the tool we will have the difference between forecast and realization on the schedule, time, journey time.
		2b. Team Improvement Action Plan during the monthly BCM regarding the report on on-site time and journey time.
3. Financial	3a. Insufficient monitoring of actual team travel expenses (perdiems, accommodation etc.)	3a. Through tracking of team actual movements, able to better monitor and enforce cash advance refunds by teams on unused funds.
4. Fleet value-add: contribution to Outreach productivity	4a. Insufficient team awareness and understanding the functional support that fleet management and drivers can offer	4a. Consult with fleet manager for best use of vehicles and resources.
	4b. No driver involvement in the preparation of the monthly schedules.	4b. Entire team including the drivers involved in the scheduling. Taking advantage of driver local geographical knowledge, and network of drivers to get the best and latest information on route conditions, and also security.
	4c. Lack of team involvement in car planning and management	4c. Greater team understanding and participation in ensuring the proper care of vehicle by the driver, as well as ensuring good driving behaviour for the safety of the team.

### B. Retrofitting technology Bespoke schedule module for journey planning

**Impact** – We have two scenario results - Where the technology rollout suffered issues (locations X), the result was somewhat muddled; and where the technology was rolled out in a good way (Madagascar), we can evidence the change in behaviour and performance we sought to achieve.

**Challenges** - Computer skills lower than average; Locations X rollout issues – module development delayed and impacted ability to train; users challenged to set waypoints; unclear division of labour between Outreach Channel (owners of scheduling); and Fleet teams (owners of the tracpoint system). Resulted in lost time 6+ months to get back on track. Learning from missteps, and then working 'in a more together way' to bring things back on track.

**Innovation** – Development of a bespoke module for use by the Outreach channel; a completely new product to be designed;

**Evidence** – How not to, and how to retrofit technology tools; Challenges faced at our locations X programmes, however able to evidence success at Madagascar programme – better job of readying the programme to apply the technology.

## Project objective 2 Costs

### Operating cost visibility Fuel & maintenance

**Impact** – We created operating costs visibility (fuel and maintenance) across 15 country fleet teams, where there was no visibility before. While we did have total expenditure information per country from our MSI financial system (SUN), the challenge was that this aggregate cost data in isolation doesn't inform on whether cost levels are good, or bad. Engagement of fleet teams in the preparation of their own performance dashboards and trend graphs; Tools flag out of range performance to senior management and fleet teams.

**Challenges** – In the setup, access to historical individual vehicle-level performance data from countries; lapse time required to gather correct data-set information to establish a benchmark of how we are doing; lack of 'evidenced' sector norm information on operating costs for vehicles; Understanding the dashboard results – good number teams believed that after they had submitted their reports that their role in the dashboard was completed, meaning no action taken by fleet managers on out of range performance. Further, some teams did not understand the results or what they meant, as they had not had experience with fleet KPIs, or had to address performance results.

**Innovation** – Established consensus on operating cost norms for operating costs with support from Fleet Forum; Created aggregate 'high level' country dashboards for Senior Executives; Established and communicated key performance indicators; Benchmarking cost performance; Knowing actual cost performance: averages and trend over time

**Evidence** – The tools and communications to teams about: key performance indicators for fleet; performance norms; tracpoint FMS for cost data entry; performance dashboard and trend graph;

## Centralised vehicle procurement Changing attitudes

**Impact** – We got people's attention! International supply solidly on the country programme radar; Study showing compelling results on saving by ordering through our global team; Global specifications defined and narrowed based on functional usage; Landed cost 'all-in delivered' information for vehicles given now provided to programmes; Global supply agreement to reduce procurement time by six (6) weeks; Estimated deliver within 3-4 months from approved requisition. Tables turned – programmes decide sourcing, but will need to justify their choice.

**Challenges** – Generally entrenched tendency to prefer local procurement of vehicles; getting programmes to plan asset requirements further in advance; some lack technical knowledge on import export – meaning risk of delays and demurrage, increased costs; some programmes buying used vehicles 4x4 and high mileage which we had not been informed/aware before purchases;

**Innovation** – Shipping instruction documents before order; coaching import clearance and implications; global policy parameters on the procurement of used vehicles.

**Evidence** – Global vehicle specification sheet; pricing study results; individual supply estimates with increasingly precise figures; Communications articles to create greater awareness of the opportunity costs.

## Costs 2015-17

Summary

**Global average spend USD 10.2m per annum**, and USD 11.3m in 2017

Africa programmes represent average 77% of global spend, and 85% in 2017

- East & Southern Africa region represents average 49% of Africa spend, and 53% in 2017
- West & Central Africa region represents average 28% of Africa spend, and 31% in 2017

#### What good looks like (kpi norm) Indexing <u>fuel cost per km</u> (by country)

EAST & SOUTHERN AFRICA	Ethiopia	Kenya	Madagascar	Tanzania	Uganda	Malawi	Zambia	Zimbabwe		
fuel cost at pump per litre local currency			16	92	3,230	2,145	3,180	816	11	1
	USD	L/100km	0.58	0.91	0.99	0.94	0.85	1.12	1.08	1.00
fuel cost norm <u>per km</u>	car	7	0.04	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.08	0.08	0.07
	pickup 4x4	11	0.06	0.10	0.11	0.10	0.09	0.12	0.12	0.11
	wagon 4x4 light duty	12	0.07	0.11	0.12	0.11	0.10	0.13	0.13	0.12
	wagon 4x4 heavy duty	15	0.09	0.14	0.15	0.14	0.13	0.17	0.16	0.15
			\$ 0.07	\$ 0.12	\$ 0.13	\$ 0.12	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.13

region average \$ 0.118

WEST & CENTRAL AFRICA	Burkina Faso	Ghana	Mali	Niger	Nigeria	Sierra Leone	Senegal			
fuel cost at pump per litre	local currency		526	5	609	540	220	6,000	595	
	USD	L/100km	0.95	1.09	1.10	0.97	0.61	0.76	1.10	
fuel cost norm <u>per km</u>	car	7	0.07	0.08	0.08	0.07	0.04	0.05	0.08	
	pickup 4x4	11	0.10	0.12	0.12	0.11	0.07	0.08	0.12	
	wagon 4x4 light duty	12	0.11	0.13	0.13	0.12	0.07	0.09	0.13	
	wagon 4x4 heavy duty	15	0.14	0.16	0.17	0.15	0.09	0.11	0.17	
			\$ 0.12	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.12	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.14	

region average \$ 0.119

# Fleet results 2017 Africa programmes expenses | operating costs | fuel consumption

				2017	
category	Indicator	Unit type	Africa	Region 1	Region 2
EXPENSES	Fuel	USD	2,213,782	1,282,469	931,313
USD	Maintenance	USD	1,475,489	951,187	524,302
	Insurance, tax, licencing	USD	356,540	183,626	172,914
	Purchases (vehicles)	USD	3,287,037	1,355,680	1,931,356
	Rentals	USD	2,059,330	1,777,181	282,149
	Other fleet costs	USD	395,909	217,164	178,746
	Total spend	USD	9,788,086	5,767,306	4,020,780
OPERATING COSTS	Fuel	USD /km	0.154	0.145	0.162
Per km usd	Maintenance	USD /km	0.136	0.121	0.152
	Total operating cost	USD /km	0.290	0.266	0.314
FUEL CONSUMPTION	KPI Fuel consumption L/100km	L/100km	14.05	13.75	14.3

#### Fleet results 2017 Africa programmes

utilisation | safety | people

				2017	
category	Indicator	Unit type	Africa	Region 1	Region 2
UTILISATION	Fleet distance travelled	km past 12 months	6,055,025	9,198,848	2,911,201
	Average distance driven per vehicle	km/month	2,259	2,297	2,222
	Average age of vehicles	years	4.7	6.0	3.4
	Average odometer reading per vehicle	km/ vehicle	130,478	158,685	102,270
SAFETY	Incidents	per 100'000km	0.44	0.57	0.31
	Injuries & fatalities	number			
	Overspeed	avge events per driver	8.23	4.7	11.8
PEOPLE	Drivers	Fleet drivers	449	286	163
		Staff authorised to drive	74	57	17
		sub-total drivers	523	343	180
	Fleet managers	sub-total managers	16	9	7
		total people	539	352	187

# Fleet results 2017 Africa programmes assets

				2017	
category	Indicator	Unit type	Africa	Region 1	Region 2
ASSETS	Light vehicles (owned)	Cars (corolla)	75	45	30
		Minivan (hiace)	10	6	4
		Pickup 4x4 double cab (hilux, ranger)	156	71	85
		Wagon 4x4 Light duty (prado, patrol)	87	76	11
		Wagon 4x4 Heavy duty (landcruiser)	155	117	38
		Pickup 4x4 Heavy duty (landcruiser)	1	1	0
		Ambulance	15	15	0
		sub-total light vehs	499	331	168
	Other assets (owned)	Generators	71	46	25
		Motorcycles 2-wheeled	247	34	213
		Motorcycles 3-wheeled	36	36	0
		Trucks	3	2	1
		Trailers	5	5	0
		Boats	1	1	0
		sub-total other assets	363	124	239
		total assets	862	455	407

## **Costs** Reduction strategies

#### PURCHASE

Strategy	Measure	We doing this?
Global vehicle supply	Standardise & reduce asset mix; Reduce purchase cost; Establish global supply agreement	Yes
Asset requirements planning	Reduce number assets; Maximise asset usage; Dispose under-utilised assets	2019
Transportation outsourcing	Investigate opportunities to move away from asset ownership	2019

#### FUEL

Strategy	Measure	We doing this?		
Key Performance Indicators	Norms for fuel consumption; Fuel cost per km	Yes		
Fuel management controls	Monthly reconciliation; Data recording to tracpoint	Yes		
	Corrective action by country programmes	Partial/ challenges		
Fuel supply agreements	Post-paid supply; Automated supplier statements	No		
Driving behaviour	Speed reduction	Yes		
	Eco-driving	No		

#### MAINTENANCE

Strategy	Measure	We doing this?		
Key Performance Indicators	norms for maintenance cost per km	Yes		
Preventive maintenance	Daily checks; Report anad repair defects; scheduled service	Yes		
	Adherance to A-B-C service requirements	Partial / review 2019		
Maintenance services agreement	service, repair, tyres	Partial		
Driver mechanical awareness	know their vehicle?	Poor		
Scheduled vehicle replacement	organisational policy?	2019		

## **Global Fleet 2017**



#### Global Fleet asset purchases USD 2015-2017

Axis Title

## Global Fleet 2015-17 | & potential savings 2019

#### Global Fleet asset purchases by region 2015-17



## Global Fleet **potential saving 2019** (USD by %) (through central procurement)



ESA WACA

# Project objective 3 **Safety**

### Speed reduction High speed no more

**Impact** – That where GPS technology is rolled out with the right message and regular monitoring, results in reduced speed levels that are maintained low over time. Bonus impact on fuel consumption and fuel cost reduction.

**Challenges** – Some programmes had an 'internal culture' that 120kph was the norm. When confronted to offer proof of the legislated speed at the level of 120kph, they were not able to provide. Some programme speeds creeping up; and some need occasional intervention.

**Innovation** – the overspeed KPI 'overspeed per driver' is one we may have invented! We have shared with other organisations to consider applying at their organisations.

**Evidence** – Overspeed study results – lower speed achieved and maintained over time.

## Safety Reduced speed evidence (Q3 2016 – Q4 2017)



#### TABLE 3 - Trend data: average overspeeds per driver

	Jul-16	Aug-16	Sep-16	Oct-16	Nov-16	Dec-16	Jan-17	Feb-17	Mar-17	Apr-17	May-17	Jun-17	Jul-17	Aug-17	Sep-17	Oct-17	Nov-17	Dec-17	Jan-18	Feb-18	Score	
Burkina Faso	47.8	0.2	0.4	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Mali	162.3	28.0	30.8	2.9	1.0	0.3	2.0	2.5	3.1	1.7	1.6	1.3	5.5	5.3	6.9	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2		
Niger	64.8	0.4	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Senegal	65.6	1.3	1.3	0.7	1.4	0.9	0.5	1.1	18.1	7.9	4.8	4.2	5.6	24.5	40.5	59.0	44.2	20.7	21.1	21.1		$\odot$
Nigeria					190.9	3.7	1.2	0.8	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.7	0.8		
Zambia											199.2	221.7	174.7	95.9	66.2	58.3	64.8	93.8	46.3	60.5		$\odot$
Cambodia														6.5	4.2	8.0	9.5	7.2	16.3	9.5		
Sierra Leone															17	15	11	11	21	13		
Kenya																	9	7	11	10		

## Night driving

#### Rules that are safe and workable on the ground

**Impact** – A policy that programmes will accept and adhere - established a clear policy where programmes can and cannot drive at night and be safe. This was an issue for our Outreach teams that work remotely with varying demand for service delivery needs.

**Challenges** – At some programmes (not all), the choice (unofficially) at team level was to 'get service delivery numbers' after dark at the expense of existing 'no night driving' regulations.

**Innovation** – Addressing the realities on the ground, through meaningful and honest consultation with country programmes – for a workable night driving policy that keeps people safe.

**Evidence** – Global Fleet Policy v2018; acceptance and enforcement by senior management team at country level.

### Taking care of drivers Focus on health & safety

MSI Ethiopia leading the way!

#### **Over 80% of drivers**

- ✓ Medical health check
- ✓ Eye exam
- ✓ First aid training
- ✓ Defensive driving



## Vehicle incident management Visibility

**Impact** - Vehicle incidents are now reported (but we can do better); annual vehicle incident registers are maintained;

**Challenges** – In the past a lack of record keeping of vehicle incidents; historically vehicle incidents reported as security incidents therefore lacking fleet safety and prevention considerations. Getting fleet teams to report minor incidents continues to be challenging – but hopefully persistence will get teams to understand and accept that ALL incidents need to be reported even if under USD\$500 damage.

**Innovation** – Definition of vehicle incident; Combined vehicle incident report form to Global security (risk to staff) and Global Fleet (driving safety) information; Submission of vehicle incident reporting (uploading) via the Knowledge platform in Office 365

**Evidence** – Global Fleet Policy v2018; Vehicle Incident Report form

## East & Southern Africa Fleet 2017

vehicle incidents | country fleet teams



**ESA** Fleet people 2017



## West & Central Africa Fleet 2017

vehicle incidents | country fleet teams



#### WACA Fleet people 2017

# Project objective 4 Data Quality

### Fleet operating cost results Seeing the real costs

**Impact** – We can see the truth! By monitoring the quality of data entered to the tracpoint FMS vs cost data from our SUN financial system, we have established, that we cannot rely only on the fleet systems as a correct measure fleet and individual vehicle operating costs. More specifically, we can measure the % variance from the truth (data quality)

What we discovered with the enhanced visibility is that: programmes suspected of doing well, were doing well; programmes suspected of doing badly, were doing a bit worse than expected; and then there were the programmes operating somewhere in between, not terrible... but work to be done.

**Challenges** – not all costs entered to tracpoint; long term rental vehicle costs not entered to tracpoint, but are included in SUN system (this dashboard results); a couple programmes with extreme results.

**Innovation** – validating fleet management system data quality

Evidence – fleet dashboard; fleet quality assessment; SUN data

## Fleet operating cost results 2017 Discovered something unexpected

Difference in performance results between SUN and tracpoint data sources

Data Quality variance	ESA		WACA		
Variance % of tracpoint from	Fuel	31%	Fuel	26%	
SUN	Maintenance	34%	Maintenance	57%	

#### Why the difference?

We are investigating but we suspect that some possibilities may include:

- Costs applied to fleet but fleet teams not made aware, therefore not entered to tracpoint
- Fleet teams not thoroughly entering all fleet operating cost data
- Errors in applying financial coding to payments
- Operating costs for generators, motorcycles, rental vehicles being coded to the light vehicle fleet, which could be incorrectly pushing up the reported cost per km figures (MSI does not have trucks)

\*\* Note: since the conference date, we subsequently offset the SUN system results for each country to address the last bullet point on this page. The % variance above was the original discrepancy/

#### East & Southern Africa Fleet 2015-2017 Data quality – cost results SUN vs tracpoint



## West & Central Africa Fleet 2017

Data quality – cost results SUN vs tracpoint



## 5-Sustainability

#### Acceptance

End-to-end scope Performance management cycle Country fleet objectives planning Peer to Peer support Maintenance - what we need to do Teaming up with internal audit

![](_page_53_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_4.jpeg)

\*\* What follows are initiatives & concepts that we're working towards ... still a lot of road to cover !

# end-to-end scope

![](_page_54_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Figure_2.jpeg)

### Performance management Big picture

![](_page_55_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### **Performance management** Country fleet annual objectives planning

Country fleet OGSM **annual planning** to reflect objectives, goals and strategies that **contribute directly** to effectiveness, efficiency, safety, and quality data reporting. **Objective** - Improve country fleet management: decrease costs; increase safety; achieve quality reporting

**Goals** – maximise asset utilisation; control costs (with quantified targets)

**Strategies** – depend on the goals: e.g. for fuel supply, maintenance, utilisation, safety, training. Data gathering and reporting etc. // then list measurable actions that are timebound.

## **Embedding 'business-as-usual'**

Global Fleet Peer-to-Peer programme

Three levels working at the grass roots to improve Fleet

![](_page_57_Figure_3.jpeg)

	Who	What
Level 3	Fleet Managers - Peer to peer partners between country programmes - Each fleet manager would be paired with a fleet manager from another programme within their region	Strategic support Policy & procedures Performance against KPIs (outputs) Performance against quality (inputs)
Level 2	Fleet Officer / Assitant - One peer per region is trained by Global Fleet to monitor and support their peers at the other programmes within their region.	Technology support tracpoint system usage Data entry quality and reporting
Level 1	drivers - Some drivers (1-2) at each country programmes trained as trainers to support and develop the drivers within their programme.	Vehicle care and driving safety vehicle technical knowledge driver level maintenance driving skills and behaviour

# Fleet maintenance ... what we need to do goal | strategy | measures

#### Goal

- Reduce fleet costs / maintenance / service, repairs, tyres
- Increase consistency of standards and adherence to standards for fleet maintenance (service, repairs and tyres).

#### Strategy

- Establish, rollout and monitor an MSI standard for service intervals (distance) and interval requirements (parts and labour), maintenance, and tyres.
- Country programmes establish service agreements with maintenance service provider.

#### **Proposed measures**

- <u>*Research:*</u> service provider vs CP standards, tyre standards, and degree of adherence.
- <u>Define Standard</u>: Set single MSI standard for service interval and interval requirements; Set tyre standards (condition monitoring; replacement cycle; purchase specification; disposal used tyres; tyre tracking (tracpoint).
- <u>Service Agreements</u>: develop standardised service agreement template in consultation with LSO Legal; Incorporate MSI service standards into service agreement terms and conditions;
- <u>*Rollout*</u>: implement service and tyre standards to country programmes; country competitive bidding process for the selection of a maintenance service provider.
- *Evidence:* Baseline costs official Toyota retailers (per interval type and by country); compare against cost of current service providers used by country programmes, and later, service providers selected through competitive bidding process.

## **Group Internal Audit**

#### Being audit ready should be 'business-as-usual for fleet'

#### Why audit fleet?

- Fleet impacts productivity, costs, and safety.
- Capital investment and ongoing expense for fuel, maintenance and insurance
- Risk exposure of vehicle incidents, security incidents, and reputation
- Number of country staff to be healthy, trained and performance managed

#### What they could they check?

They won't check everything, but they can check anything!

- Adherence to the Global Fleet Policy 2018
- Progress against quality standards for fleet.
- Performance against Key Performance Indicators for fleet
- Action on vehicle incident management
- Usage of the tracpoint vehicle system (VTS) and fleet management system (FMS)

![](_page_60_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Picture_1.jpeg)

# Drive Productivity. Drive Safely. Drive Out costs.